•	Case 2:20-cr-00021-RFB-NJK Document 17 Filed 09/06/19 Page 2 of 4 Case 2:19-mj-00368-VCF Document 16 Filed 09/05/19 Page 2 of 4
1 2 3 4	 4. The parties need a continuance to review discovery and discuss possible defendse and otherwise prepare for the preliminary hearing. 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of
5 6	justice. 6. This is the second request for a continuance of the preliminary.
7	DATED this 5th day of September 2019.
8 9 10	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH PITARO & FUMO, CHTD. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
11	
12 13	/s/ /s/
14	MICHAEL J. MICELI, ESQ. ROBERT KNIEF, ESQ. 601 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, SOUTH ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 501 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH. #1100
15 16	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 ROOSEVELT JONES
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-

	Case 2:20-cr-00021-RFB-NJK Document 17 Filed 09/06/19 Page 3 of 4
•	Case 2:19-mj-00368-VCF Document 16 Filed 09/05/19 Page 3 of 4
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * *
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:19-mj-00368- VCF
5)
6	Plaintiff,
7	$\left \mathbf{v} \right $
8	DANIEL NATHAN,
9	DANIEL NATIAN,
10	Defendant.
11	FINDINGS OF FACT
12	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the
13	Court finds:
14	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:
15	1. Counsel has spoken to the Defendant and he has no objections to the continuance.
16 17	2. Defendant is not currently incarcerated and on Pretrial Release.
18	3. The parties need additional time to discuss potential pre-indictment resolutions that
19	may obviate the need to proceed with the preliminary hearing.
20	
21	4. The parties need a continuance to review discovery and discuss possible defendse an
22	otherwise prepare for the preliminary hearing.
23	5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of
24	justice.
25	6. This is the second request for a continuance of the preliminary.
26	
27	
28	
	.3.

ORDER

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy preliminary hearing, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for a preliminary hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

aptioned matter currently scheduled for September 6, 2019 at 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to November 12, 2019, at 4:00 p.m.

DATED this <u>Com</u> of <u>September</u>, 2019.

- U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

CAM FERENBACH
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

-4-

1 2